

Feeding Hope Fund Grant Application Evaluation

Reviewer Name: _____

Proposal Title: _____

Please provide a criterion score for each of the following domains. Criterion scores are intended to convey how each reviewer weighs the strengths and weaknesses of each domain. Each reviewer should also provide an overall impact score for the application. The overall impact score is not intended to be an average of the criterion scores, but rather is based on each individual reviewer’s overall assessment of the application. While all criteria should be considered in this assessment, an application does not need to be strong in all categories to be judged likely to have major overall impact, (e.g., a project that by its nature is not innovative may be essential to advance a field).

Criteria for Evaluation	Score 1 – 9 (see rating scale below)
Responsiveness to the intent of the announcement	
Innovation/novelty of the research	
Significance and clinical impact	
Feasibility of producing meaningful results within one year of the grant	
Scientific design	
Feasibility of meeting budgetary constraints	
Adequacy of proposed timeline and schedule of deliverables	
Overall Impact Score (not intended to be an average of criterion scores)	

Additional Comments:

Rating Scale

Impact	Score	Descriptor	Additional Guidance on Strengths/Weaknesses
High	1	Exceptional	Exceptionally strong with essentially no weaknesses
	2	Outstanding	Extremely strong with negligible weaknesses
	3	Excellent	Very strong with only some minor weaknesses
Medium	4	Very Good	Strong but with numerous minor weaknesses
	5	Good	Strong but with at least one moderate weakness
	6	Satisfactory	Some strengths but also some moderate weaknesses
Low	7	Fair	Some strengths but with at least one major weakness
	8	Marginal	A few strengths and a few major weaknesses
	9	Poor	Very few strengths and numerous major weaknesses